Study of the Characteristics of Battery Packs in Electric Vehicles with Parallel-Connected Lithium-Ion Battery Cells

Xianzhi Gong, Student member, *IEEE*, Rui Xiong, Student member, *IEEE*, Chunting Chris Mi*, Fellow, *IEEE* DOE GATE Center for Electric Drive Transportation Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Michigan-Dearborn 4901 Evergreen Road, Dearborn, MI 48128, USA

xianzhigong@gmail.com (X. G), rxiong@ieee.org (R. X), mi@ieee.org (C. M)

Abstract—This paper studies the characteristics of battery packs with parallel-connected lithium-ion battery cells. To investigate the influence of cell inconsistency problem in parallel-connected cells, a group of different degraded lithium-ion battery cells were selected to build various battery packs and test them using a battery test bench. The physical model was developed to simulate the operation of the parallel-connected packs. The experimental results and simulation indicate that with different degraded cells in parallel, there could be capacity loss and large difference in discharge current, which may cause further accelerated degradation and more serious inconsistency problem.

I. INTRODUCTION

Currently, lithium-ion batteries (LiB) are considered as one of the major viable energy storage solutions for electric vehicles (EVs) and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs). The battery pack provides power and energy to drive the vehicle, as shown in Fig. 1. Typically, the power demands can be up to 30~120 kW. To satisfy the operation voltage and traction power demands, battery packs have to be made with hundreds of cells connected in series or parallel [1, 2]. For examples, the battery pack of Nissan Leaf EV consists of 192 cells with 2 cells in parallel; for Chevrolet Volt PHEV the battery pack is made of 288 cells with 3cells in parallel to meet 350V system voltage requirement [11, 12].

For battery packs with series-connected cells, it is feasible to monitor and control each cell in order to avoid overcharge and overdischarge by applying passive or active balancing modules [3-5]. However, there are tremendous technological challenges on how to avoid the adverse effects of cell inconsistency with parallel-connected cells in battery packs (referred to as parallel-connected packs in this paper) and how to prolong the life of both the packs and the cells.

For onboard battery management systems (BMS), it is hard to measure the current of each cell in parallel-connected battery packs due to it is impractical and costly to add additional current sensors to a BMS to monitor individual cell current [6]. As a result, the behaviors of each single cell in parallel are hard to monitor and manage by the BMS. A variety of researches have been conducted to provide the accurate model-based management for LiB cells [2] and battery packs with series-connected cells [3]. However, few studies about parallel-connected battery packs have been done.



Figure 1. Typical Battery Powered Electric Vehicle Drive System

This paper focuses on the study of the characteristics of parallel-connected battery packs. A number of experiments are conducted in order to investigate the cell inconsistency problem and its influence over parallel-connected packs for EV and PHEV applications. Based on the analysis of the experiment results, it is possible to develop a model for the battery pack as well as the battery states estimation algorithm. Section II of this paper describes the background of battery modeling and cells inconsistency problem. Experiment procedures and test bench setup are described in Section III. Section IV presents the experimental results, proposed model with simulation and discussion. The conclusion is summarized in Section V

II. BATTERY CELLS INCONSISTENCY PROBLEM

A. Battery Background

The electrical behavior of batteries is similar to a voltage source in series with impedances (resistance/capacitance). However, for EV and PHEV applications, the operation condition and environment of the battery is complex due to the high current, dynamic operation and regenerative energy.

^{0093-9994 (}c) 2013 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

Voltage source based battery models are not sufficient to describe and simulate the dynamic behaviors accurately. In order to solve this problem, the equivalent circuit based battery model with RC networks is widely used [10], as shown in Fig. 2.

In this model, V_{oc} denotes the open circuit voltage (OCV), which is related to the state of charge (SOC) of the battery, as shown in Fig. 3. R_t represents the ohmic resistance. R_p and C_p represent the polarization effect of the battery. These internal parameters are not directly measureable and highly depend on other variables such as SOC, temperature.



Figure 2. Equivalent circuit model based on one-order RC network



Figure 3. OCV versus SOC

B. Cell Inconsistency Problem

The cell inconsistency problem in battery packs reduce the performance as well as operation efficiency. Once many cells are assembled into a battery pack, the performance of the battery pack cannot be evaluated through adding all single cells together. The reason is that, in the battery pack, the worst cell determines the whole battery pack performance, as shown in Fig. 4. To ensure the battery packs in safety, the BMS use the lowest and highest SOC of single cells to determine the SOC of whole battery pack during discharging and charging. Poor cells are more likely to appear severe polarization and generate more heat, which cause the accelerated degradation [10]. As battery packs service time and cycles increase, the cell inconsistency problem will become worse.

There are various factors that can cause the cell inconsistency problem. In the manufacturing process of battery, the manufacture technique fault, impurity in chemical materials and human operation errors could result in initial capacity and characteristics difference. Different operating conditions and environments, such as temperature and current rates, may cause different degradation rates then make the cell inconsistency problem worse.



Figure 4. Battery inconsistency problem in pack

It is possible to improve the manufacturing process and quality control to solve the problem of initial difference. For normal operation, high efficiency battery balancing systems are able to improve the performance and prolong the lifetime of battery pack at some level [4]. However, how to solve the battery cell inconsistency problem completely remains as a tough challenge.

III. TEST BENCH AND EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

In order to investigate the characteristics of different degraded battery cells in parallel-connected packs, a test bench capable of measuring the current of each cell was developed. With this test bench, a number of experimental tests were conducted.

A. Test Bench

The battery test bench is shown in Fig. 5. Several different degraded LiB cells and associated hall-effect current transducers are assembled into parallel-connected packs. These packs are placed in the temperature chamber for environment control. The battery cycler performs charging and discharging to the battery packs. The data acquisition system (DAQ) collects current data from the current transducers and voltage data from the isolation amplifiers for each cell. The connection cables' resistance is measured accurately in order to eliminate the voltage drops in the tests.



Figure 5. Battery test bench

B. Experimental Tests

A group of LiB cells with different degradation levels are selected to conduct the experimental tests. These battery cells have been used in PHEVs for more than 2 years real road tests. This battery pack is made with all cells in series, which means all cells share the same current during operation. The nominal voltage of the battery cells is 3.7V with nominal capacity 32 Ah. The upper cut off voltage is 4.05V; the lower cut off voltage is 3.0 V.

Each cell's present capacity is measured by fully charging and discharging the cell three times with 0.5 C (16A) current at 25°C. The maximum capacity of these cells is 31.7 Ah while the minimum one is 18.7 Ah. The capacities are in the range of 58% to 99% of the nominal capacity. Most cells remain 80% or higher capacity, as shown in Fig. 6.



Figure 6. Cells capacity distribution

A total of 34 cells are assembled into 27 different packs with 2, 3 and 4 cells in parallel. The capacity test, Hybrid Pulse Power Characterization (HPPC) test, Dynamic Stress Test (DST), Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS) test and Federal Urban Driving Schedule (FUDS) test are carried out on these packs. The HPPC test is intended to investigate the dynamic power capability incorporating both discharge and regenerative current pulses. The performance and characteristics can be derived from the HPPC test data [9]. The other tests can be used to simulate the real road driving situations.

IV. EXPERIMENT RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

With the Capacity, DST, HPPC, UDDS and FUDS tests, the voltage and current data of each cell is recorded with 20Hz sample frequency. Four typical groups are selected to analyze and discuss in this paper.

As shown in Table I, Group #1 and Group #2 each has two cells in parallel with 0.5 Ah and 10.5 Ah capacity difference; Group #3 has three cells with 4 Ah capacity difference; Group #4 has four cells with 2.5 Ah capacity difference.

A. Two Cells Connected in Parallel

Fig. 7 shows the capacity loss of battery packs with two randomly selected cells connected in parallel. The results from capacity tests of these two-cell parallel packs indicate that there are various degrees of capacity loss, ranging from 0.5Ah to 2.5Ah, compared with the sum of individual capacities. These capacity losses could be due to the energy dissipation in the internal resistance and the early reaching of lower voltage limit.

TABLE I. TESTED PACKS

| Group | Cell Number & Capacity | | | |
|-------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | #15 | #19 | | |
| | (31.7 Ah) | (31.2 Ah) | | |
| 2 | #13 | #24 | | |
| | (31.7 Ah) | (21.2 Ah) | | |
| 3 | #06 | #13 | #25 | |
| | (22.7 Ah) | (31.7 Ah) | (27.3 Ah) | |
| 4 | #05 | #06 | #13 | #27 |
| | (28.7 Ah) | (22.8 Ah) | (31.7 Ah) | (26.3 Ah) |



Figure 7. The capacity loss for battery packs with 2 cells in parallel.

In addition, to verify the dynamic characteristics of the degraded battery cells in electrochemical view, we use electrochemical workstation (ZAHNER IM6) to conduct the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) test for Group #1 and Group #2. EIS is widely used to investigate the kinetics and determine the degradation level of battery. An excitation signal with small amplitude is applied to the battery, and then the response is analyzed to get the impedance spectrum. For lithium-ion battery, it behaves as non-linear capacitive load during low frequency. The tendency of the measurement results can express the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) diffusion of active material, the electron transportation in the active material particle, and the charge transfer and lithium-ion diffusion in solids [13]. The EIS is an accurate method to determine the degradation level of lithium-ion batteries.



Figure 8. Impedance test result

In this EIS test, the frequency range is set from 25 mHz to 1 kHz with 10 mV AC excitation signal. The impedance measurements by using Nyquist plots are shown in Fig. 8. Result shows significant differences in comparison with cell #24 and #13, #15, #19. Both imaginary and real part of the impedance of cell #24 are higher than other cells, which indicates that the degradation level of #24 is highest among these four cells.



Figure 9. Cell #19 and #15 discharing current (1C rate: 32A)



Figure 10. Cell #19 and #15 discharing current (2C rate: 64A)

The discharge current of capacity test (1C/2C discharge) of Group #1 and Group #2 are shown in Fig. 9~12. The current difference in Group #1, which is within 2 A, is much smaller

than Group #2 (20A). This could be caused by the similarity of internal properties of cells with similar degradation levels. Group #2 current difference becomes larger near the discharging terminal stage. It indicates that the SOC of cell #24 reaches zero earlier than #13, which causes the OCV of cell #24 to drop quickly. Then #13 is forced to take more current to maintain the voltage. Figs. 10-13 show the discharge current of different group of cells when parallel connected. As shown in Fig. 10 and 13, when the discharging current increases, the current difference also turns to be larger in the end of discharge. The circulating current increases too.

During the discharge process, each cell shares different current due to the difference of internal resistance/polarization effects. So the SOC of each cell changes with different rate, as shown in Fig. 12. At the end of discharge, when the 2 cells in parallel together reached the lower voltage limit (3V, 0%SOC for 2 cells in parallel), the SOCs of each individual cells are not exactly the same, as shown in the zoomed zone. When the discharging is finished, there is a circulating current which tries to reach the voltage equilibrium condition in each cell due to the different OCV and relaxation effects of these two cells. As a result, one cell in the parallel connection always operates at a higher current and different SOC compared to the other one.



Figure 11. Cell #24 and #13 discharging current (1C rate: 32A)



Figure 12. SOC of Cell #24 and #13 discharging current (1C rate: 32A)



Figure 13. Cell #24 and #13 discharging current (2C rate: 64A)

Fig. 14 shows the discharging power and terminal voltage of Group #2. Compared with #24, the output power of #13 is higher during the operation, especially near the terminal discharging stage. The increasing of current and power leads to higher polarization voltage drop and more heat dissipation. Considering the accelerated degradation effect caused by the high temperature and current [7], cell #13 will degrade more quickly during the operation.

To analyze the detailed characteristics of parallelconnected packs, we build the dynamic lumped parameter model of the battery pack, as shown in Fig. 15.

The pack model includes two RC network based cell models in parallel, with the load current source. The current variation between i_{L1} and i_{L2} trend mainly depends on the internal parameters such as ohmic resistance R_t , polarization capacitance C_p , resistance R_p and open circuit voltage OCV. These above-mentioned parameters are functions of SOC, temperature and other factors, rather than being a constant [10]. The electrical behavior of the lumped parameter model can be expressed as:



Figure 14. Cell #24 and #13 discharging power and voltage



Figure 15. Lumped parameter model of parallel-connected battery pack

$$\begin{cases} \dot{U}_{ck} = -U_{ck}/R_{pk} C_{pk} + i_{Lk}/C_{pk} \\ U_{ck} = OCVk - U_{ck} - i_{Lk} R_{ik} \\ U_{r} = OCVk - U_{ck} - i_{Lk} R_{ik} \end{cases}$$
(1)

In order to obtain the above SOC depended parameters, we use least mean square (LMS) method to perform parameter identification based on the HPPC test data. The identification results are shown in Fig. 16 to Fig. 18.



Figure 16. Ohmic resistance Rt identification result



Figure 17. Polarization resistance R_p identification result

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/TIA.2014.2345951, IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications



Figure 18. Polarization capacitance Cp identification result

The ohmic resistance difference between #13 and #24 maintains around 0.8 m Ohm in most of the SOC range. While the ohmic resistance difference between cell #19 and #15 is much smaller, within 0.4 m Ohm. The polarization capacitance C_p and resistance R_p has the similarity at a constant level through the whole discharging process.

With the above identified parameters, we build the dynamic lumped parameter model of battery pack with 2 cells in parallel by using MATLAB/Simulink, as shown in Fig. 19.



Figure 19. Battery pack model based on MATLAB/Simulink for 2 cells in parallel

The simulation results of Group #1 and Group #2 at 1C rate are shown in Fig. 20 and Fig. 21. The simulated current matches the measurement data well during most of the discharging process, except when SOC is approaching zero. The reason is that the OCV and other internal parameters vary very rapidly near the end of the discharging process. The HPPC test data, which is used to identify parameters, only covers 10% to 100% SOC. The derived parameters in 0% to 10% SOC are accurate enough.



Figure 20. Cell #15 and #19 simulation result



Figure 21. Cell #13 and #24 simulation result

B. Three and Four Cells in Parallel

As the number of cells in parallel increasing, the average difference between each cell is decreased. The discharge current of Group #3 and Group #4 are shown in Fig. 22 and Fig. 23. The capacity difference between the highest and the lowest still remains around 10 Ah, the same as Ggroup #2. With 3 Ah capacity difference between each cell in Group #4, the discharging current difference is around 2 A. The distribution shows that each cell's corresponding current is proportional to cell capacity during most of the discharging procedure, except when SOC is approaching zero.

Based on the data comparison between Group #2 and Group #3&4, we conclude that with more cells in parallel, the current difference is reduced. The current difference at the discharging terminal stage is lower too. These indicate that the battery operation range and lifetime could be prolonged by using more cells in parallel by reduce the pro.



Figure 22. Group #3 current



Figure 23. Group #4 current

C. Discussion

From the above results and analysis, the cell inconsistency has strong relationship with ohmic resistance, polarization effect, capacity, temperature, SOC and battery degradation level. The accumulated difference of polarization voltage, parameters and SOC can jointly affect the current distribution. We can use the SOC difference of each cell in parallel to evaluate the cell inconsistency problem of battery packs.

The battery cells in parallel connection have the characteristic of automatic voltage equilibrium. However, the current and operation SOC range of individual cells may be still different. It means that, when we choose more cells in parallel, the probability of inconsistency phenomenon can be somehow reduced. But this phenomenon will still exist and could be worse after a long time of operation. The poor cell in the parallel connection will force the better cell to discharge at a much higher current, and generate more heat. The consequence is that the overall performance of the cells in parallel may decrease. In electric vehicles, to use cells with small capacity (example: 18650, 26650 format, 2~5Ah) in parallel will cause more uncertainty in the system compared with those using single large format battery cells (pouch cell, 20~60Ah).

Based on the statistical data of cell inconsistency, it is possible to develop some optimization design methods to increase the reliability of battery packs. For example, with maximum 20% degradation difference and 2 cells in parallel, the cell of a battery pack has the chance to operate at the current 40% higher than normal situation, which increases the heat and severely reduce the reliability of the whole battery pack. When 3 cells are in parallel, this possibility can be significantly reduced. However, the cost is also increased. In general, to find the best design, which is able to balance the cost, performance and reliability of battery pack, the statistical data of cell inconsistency is one essential information need to know.

V. CONCULSION

This paper mainly studied the characteristics of battery packs formed by lithium-ion cells with different degradation levels in parallel for electric vehicle applications. Through various experimental tests and simulations, the characteristics of parallel-connected battery packs are analyzed and discussed. Cells with different degradation levels in parallel can lead to accelerated degradation process. The cell inconsistency problem could become worse. To design battery packs and ensure the safe operation, the information and knowledge of cell inconsistency is necessary. However, as the first attempts in modeling of the parallel connected battery pack, the accuracy is not good enough. The accurate modeling considering cell impedance and connector resistance are our ongoing research goal.

REFERENCES

- C. Mi, A. Masrur, and D. W. Gao, "Hybrid Electric Vehicles: Principles and Applications with Practical Perspectives," Wiley, 2011
- [2] R. Xiong, F. Sun, X. Gong and H. He, "Adaptive state of charge estimator for lithium-ion cells series battery pack in electric vehicles," J. Power Sources, vol. 242, pp. 699-713, Nov 2013)
- [3] R. Xiong, X. Gong, C. C. Mi and F. Sun, "A Robust State-of-Charge Estimator for Multiple Types of Lithium-ion Batteries Using Adaptive Extended Kalman Filter," J. Power Sources, vol.243, 805–816, Dec 2012.
- [4] Siqi Li, Chunting Mi, and Mengyang Zhang, "A High-Efficiency Active Battery-Balancing Circuit Using Multiwinding Transformer," IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 198-207.
- [5] D. Bortis, J. Biela and J.W.Kolar, "Active gate control for current balancing in parallel connected IGBT modules in solid state modulators," 2007 16th IEEE International Pulsed Power Conference, vol.2, no., pp.1323–1326, 17-22 June 2007.
- [6] H. Rahimi-Eichi, U.Ojha, F. Baronti and M.Chow, "Battery Management System: An Overview of Its Application in the Smart Grid and Electric Vehicles," IEEE Industrial Electronics Magazine, vol.7, no.2, pp.4-16, June 2013.
- [7] I. Bloom, B.W. Cole, J.J. Sohn, S.A. Jones, E.G. Polzin, V.S. Battaglia, G.L. Henriksen, C. Motloch, R. Richardson, T. Unkelhaeuser, D. Ingersoll, H.L Case, "An Accelerated Calendar and Cycle Life Study of Li-ion Cells" J. Power Sources, vol.101, 238–247, 2001.
- [8] Katerina E. Aifantis, Stephen A. Hackney, and R. Vasant Kumar, "High Energy Density Lithium Batteries: Materials, Engineering, Applications", Wiley-VCH; 1 edition (June 8, 2010).
- [9] U.S. Department of Energy Vehicle Technologies Program, "Battery Test Manual For Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles, REVISION 0"
- [10] Sabine Piller, Marion Perrin and Andreas Jossen, "Methods for stateof-charge determination and their applications", Journal of Power Sources 96 (2001) 113-120.
- U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho National Laboratory, "2011 Nissan Leaf – VIN 0356 Advanced Vehicle Testing – Beginning-of-Test

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/TIA.2014.2345951, IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications

Battery Testing Results",

 $http://www1.eere.energy.gov/vehiclesandfuels/avta/pdfs/fsev/battery_leaf_0356.pdf.$

 [12] U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho National Laboratory, "2013 Chevrolet Volt Advanced Vehicle Testing – Beginning-of-Test Battery Testing Results", http://avt.inel.gov/pdf/EREV/fact2013chevroletvolt.pdf.

[13] Evgenij Barsoukov, J. Ross Macdonald, Impedance Spectroscopy: Theory, Experiment, and Applications, 2nd Edition. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, 2005ISBN: 978-0-471-64749-2